IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.PADMANABHAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 30TH OCTOBER 2007 / 8TH KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 304 of 2000(C)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.2123/2000 Dated 10/02/2000
.....

PETITIONER - RESPONDENTS 2 & 3:
----

1. **MANGAING DIRECTOR, COCHIN INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT SOCIETY, NEDUMBASSERY.**

2. **MANGING DIRECTOR, COCHIN INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LTD., NEDUMBASSERY.**

BY ADV. SRI.N.N.SUGUNAPALAN

RESPONDENTS - RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE STATE OF KERALA, represented BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.**

2. **THE SPECIAL TAHSILDASR, L.A. NO.1, COCHIN INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LTD., ANGAMALY.**

3. **THE SPECIAL TAHSDILDAR NO.II, LAND ACQUISITION AIRPORT, ANGAMALY.**

4. **THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR, NO.III, LA, AIRPORT, ANGAMALY.**

5. **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM.**

6. **UNION OF INDIA, represented BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION, NEW DELHI.**

7. **JOSE THARIYAN, CONVENOR, ACTION COUNCIL, CHENGAL, KALADY.**

8. **P.A. THARIYAN, PARACKAL, CHENGAL, KALADY.**

9. P.V. PAUL, PADAYATTIL HOUSE, KANJOOR P.O.

10. P.A. BASHEER, PALLATHUKADAVU HOUSE, THURAVUMKARA.

11. P.S. DAVIS, PARACKAL HOUSE, KANJOOR P.O.

12. SAJI JOSEPH, KUDIYIRIPPIL HOUSE, CHENGAL, KALADY P.O.

13. P.K. JOSE, PARACKAL HOUSE, CHENGAL, KALADY P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.M.C.SEN
SRI.M.P.SREEKRISHNAN
SMT.SHAHNA KARTHIKEYAN
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI BASANT BALAJI R1 TO 5

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K. PADMANABHAN NAIR, J.

==========

R.P. NO. 304 OF 2000
IN
O.P. NO. 2123 OF 2000

==========

Dated this the 30th day of October, 2007

O R D E R

When the Review Petition comes for admission, learned counsel appearing for respondents 7 to 9 and 11 to 13, who are the petitioners in the O.P., submitted that they are withdrawing the prayer in the O.P. The said respondents have filed a statement also to that effect. In the statement it was averred that the review petitioners had taken a stand that the land in question is no longer required for their purpose. It was also submitted that in view of the stand taken by the review petitioners, the judgment passed in the O.P. can be reviewed. It was further stated that they are withdrawing the main prayer in the O.P. The statement is recorded.

In view of the statement filed, the Review Petition is allowed. Judgment passed in the O.P. is reviewed.

Interlocutory Application No.3758 of 2003 and 1476 of 2005 shall stand closed.

K. PADMANABHAN NAIR, JUDGE.

K. PADMANABHAN NAIR, J.

==========

R.P. NO. 304 OF 2000
IN
O.P. NO. 2123 OF 2000

==========

O R D E R

30TH OCTOBER, 2007